

NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
EASTERN ZONE BENCH, FINANCE CENTRE
KOLKATA
Advance Cause list

Date:14th July, 2026
Time: After Principal Bench

HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI
JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON’BLE MR. ISHWAR SINGH
EXPERT MEMBER

(CASES WILL BE TAKEN UP FOR PHYSICAL HEARING (WITH HYBRID OPTION)
FOR JOINING VC HEARING, PLEASE CLICK THE FOLLOWING LINK:
Meeting number (access code): Meeting password:2026

Note:This is an Advance cause list. All particulars herein are subject to change in the final cause list to be uploaded one day in advance.

Note: Counter Affidavits/fact finding reports/responses/reports filed beyond specified period will be taken on record subject to payment of appropriate costs as may be ordered by this Tribunal as per order dated 04.11.2025 in O.A. No. 110/2025/EZ.

Sl. No	Case No.	Parties	Counsel for Parties
FOR ADMISSION			
1.	Original Application No.96/2026/EZ	Krishna Prasad Singh Vs. The Union of India and Others	For Applicant: Mr. Devesh Khandelwal, Advocate for the applicant For Respondent:
FOR FINAL HEARING			
2.	Original Application No. 53/2024/EZ	Mathew Gonmei Vs State of Manipur & Ors	For Applicant: Ms. Paushali Banerjee, Advocate for the applicant For Respondent: Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar and Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Advocates for respondents no.1 to 4
FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION			
3.	Miscellaneous Application No.06/2026/EZ In Original Application No.51/2023/EZ	Chinmaya Das Vs State of Odisha & Ors.	For Applicant: Suo Motu. None for the applicant in O.A. No.51/2023/EZ. For Respondent: Mr. Rajib Ray, Advocate for respondent no.5 , Ms. Papiya Banerjee Bihani, Advocate for respondent no.6
FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R.4 WITHIN ONE MONTH)			
4.	Original Application No.145/2025/EZ	M/s Calstar Sponge Limited Vs Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change and Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Sanjay Upadhyay, Senior Advocate with Mr. Surya Gupta and Ms. Satvika Krishnan, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent: Ms. Rashhmi Singhee, Advocate for respondent no.1 , Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.2, Mr. Debashis Saha and

			Mr. Avirup Roy Sanyal, Advocates for respondent no.3
FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R.2,3,6,8 TO 11 WITHIN FOUR WEEKS)			
5.	Execution Application No.03/2025/EZ In Original Application No.05/2025/EZ	Atanu Borthakur Vs. Union of India & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Anand Verma, Mr. Akash Agarwal, Mr. Ashish Choudhury, Mr. Abhishek Arora, Mr. Anand Kamal and Ms. Prachi Grover, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent: Ms. Rashmi Singhee, Advocate for respondent no.1 , Ms. Malabika Roy Dey, Advocate for respondents no.2, 3, 6 and 7 , Mr. Shayambar Deb, Advocates for respondent no.4 , Mr. Nivan Raj Sharma, Advocate for respondent no.12
FOR FURTHER HEARING (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R.3 TO 5 WITHIN FOUR WEEKS)			
6.	Original Application No. 210/2025/EZ	Shri Nayan Kumar Patra Vs Jharkhand Pollution Control Board & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Ghanshyam Pandey, Advocate for the applicant For Respondent: Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate for respondent no.1 , Mr. Ashim Sridhar, Advocate for respondent no.2 , Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate for respondent no.3 , Ms. Aishwarya Rajyashree, Advocate for respondents no.4 to 6
FOR FURTHER HEARING (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R.1to7 and 9 WITHIN TWO MONTH)			
7.	Original Application No.120/2026/EZ	Dillip Kumar Samantaray Vs Ranjan Kumar Samal & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Akhand, Advocate for the applicant For Respondent:
FOR FURTHER HEARING (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R.1 ,3 to 5, 8 to 10 and 13 to 16 WITHIN ONE MONTH)			
8.	Appeal No.10/2026/EZ	Priyabrata Bhowmick & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Arka Maiti, Ms. Ambiya Khatun and Mr. Shamim Ahammed, Advocates for the appellants For Respondent:
FOR FINAL HEARING			
9.	Original Application No. 91/2024/EZ (Earlier OA 140/2024/PB)	Soubhagya Ranjan &Ors. Vs State of Odisha &Ors.	For Applicant: None for the applicants For Respondent: Mr. Jateswar Nayak, AGA for respondent no.1 , Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.2 , Ms. Anamika Pandey, Advocate for respondent no.3 , Mr. Apurba Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.4 , Mr. Dattatreya

			Shinde, DM, Cuttack
10.	Original Application No. 118/2025/EZ	Babru Bahan Pradhan Vs State of Odisha	For Applicant: None for the applicant For Respondent: Mr. Nikhil Aradhe and Mr. Satatya Anand, Advocates for respondent no.3 , Ms. Papiya Banerjee Bihani, Advocate for respondent no.4 , Mr. Apurba Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.5
11.	Original Application No.154/2023/EZ (Earlier O.A. No.110/2012/PB) (I.A. No.29/2025/EZ)	Threat to life arising out of coal mining in south garo hills district Vs. State of Meghalaya & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Raj Panjwani, Senior Advocate (Amicus Curiae) For Respondent: Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate General and Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, Advocate for respondent no.1 , Ms. Anamika Pandey, Advocate for respondent no.2 , Mr. Ashok Prasad, Advocate for respondent no.3 , Mr. Apurba Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.4 , Mr. Sibojyoti Chakraborty, Advocate for respondent no.5 , Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate for respondent no.7 , Ms. K. Enatoli Sema and Mr. Rokosieno Meyase, Advocates for respondent no.8 , Ms. Amrita Pandey, Advocate for respondent no.9 , Mr. Rohan Talwar, Ms. Sneha Singh and Mr. Himanshu Saraswat, Advocates for respondents no.10, 14, 17 and 19 , Mr. S. N. Mookerjee, Senior Advocate, Mr. Ratnanko Banerjee, Senior Advocate, Mr. Dhruv Chaddha, Mr. Kanishk Kejriwal, Mr. Biswajit Kumar and Mr. Sudipto Sircar and Mr. Vidhya Bhusan Upadhyay, Advocates for respondents no.11 to 13. Mr. Sanampreet Singh, Advocate for R-15 , Mr. Prateek Yadav and Ms. Jyotsna Punshi, Advocates for respondent no.16 , Ms. Vanita Bhargava, Ms. Nandita Chauhan, Mr. Abhay Agnihotri and Mr. Tijil Thakur, Advocates for respondent no.18
12.	Original Application No. 42/2024/EZ	Bimal Hembram & Anr Vs State of Odisha & Ors	For Applicant: Mr. Sankar Prasad Pani, Advocate and Mr. Ashutosh Padhy, Advocate for the applicants. For Respondent: Ms. Aishwarya Dash, ASC for respondents no.1, 4 and 8 , Mr. Rajib Ray, Advocate for respondent no.2 , Mr. D.N. Ray, Senior Advocate and Mr. Sourav Halder, Advocate for respondent no.3 , Mr. Apurba Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.5 , Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.6 , Mr. Pronoy Mohanty, Advocate for respondent no.7 , Mr. S.P. Mishra, Senior Advocate and

			Mr. Lalit Kumar Maharana, Advocate for respondent no.9
--	--	--	---

For orders, cause list and other information please visit our website:
www.greentribunal.gov.in

For detailed Standard Operating Protocol for Virtual Video Conferencing in NGT please visit our link: https://greentribunal.gov.in/sites/default/files/all_documents/SOPngt.pdf

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SMOOTH VC HEARINGS

While joining, the VC room, enter details only in the following format :

Item No. (XX) – (NAME) – (PARTY)

e.g.

Item 2 – abc – Petitioner	Item 4 – bcd – Adv. for Resp. 2 & 4
Item 7 – abc – Adv for SPCB	Item 1 – bcd – DM for State of xyz

After joining, ensure that –

VIDEO is always ‘OFF’

AND

MIC is always ‘MUTE’

1. Video should be switched ON only by the parties to a case immediately when the concerned Item No. is called for hearing.
2. Mic should be UNMUTED only by the party speaking.
3. **Generally attendance will be marked only when the video is visible.**
4. Switching on the Video or UNMUTING the Mic otherwise will disturb the hearing of ongoing matters.
5. Parties to join the VC room at least ten minutes before the Hon’ble Bench Commences the session of the court. It shall be the responsibility of the parties to ensure that their devices have requisite compatibility and up-gradation to participate in VC hearings, and to have stable internet connection of appropriate signal strength/bandwidth/speed for uninterrupted hearings.

Note 1

A prayer for adjournment will be considered as per [Office Order No. 33/01/2015/NGT/EZB/KOL/Judicial Matter/187 dated 19.03.2024](#)

Note 2

As indicated in the [Office Order No. NGT/PB/RG/2018/135 dated 20.02.2020](#), reports received in the matters are uploaded on the Website of NGT for access by all concerned. Non-availability of such report with party shall not be accepted as denial of opportunity or a ground for adjournment.